PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1		r agent's file reference						
SCB/50965001			FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notific Preliminar	cation of Transmittal of International y Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
international application No. PCT/GB99/02241			International filing date (day/month	vyear)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14/07/1998			
C1.	2N15/5	Palent Classification (IPC) or na 2	tional classification and IPC					
Applicant JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA N.V. et al.								
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
•	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
J.	ıvıs tebo	rt contains indications relati	ng to the following items:					
J.	3 5	Basis of the report	ng to the following Items:					
J.	; ē	Basis of the report Priority						
J.	;	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opi	nion with regard to novelty, inver	ntive step ar	nd industrial applicability			
J.	1 0 11 C 111 C	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opi	inion with regard to novelty, inver					
3.	1 0 11 C 11 E 1V 25 V 25	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opi Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations	inion with regard to novelty, inver er Article 35(2) with regard to no e suporting such statement		nd industrial applicability tive step or industrial applicability;			
	1	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opin Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited	inion with regard to novelty, Inver er Article 35(2) with regard to no e suporting such statement					
		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited Certain defects in the inte	inion with regard to novelty, Inver er Article 35(2) with regard to no es suporting such statement emational application					
		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opin Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited	inion with regard to novelty, Inver er Article 35(2) with regard to no es suporting such statement emational application					
\	1	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited Certain defects in the inte	inion with regard to novelty, inverse Article 35(2) with regard to not supporting such statement emational application he international application		tive step or industrial applicability;			
Date of		Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited Certain defects in the intercentain observations on the opinion of the demand	inion with regard to novelty, inverse Article 35(2) with regard to not supporting such statement emational application he international application	velty, inven	tive step or industrial applicability;			
Date of	III C IV & V VI C VII C VIII C Ind mailingly exam	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opinion Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited Certain defects in the internation of the demand	er Article 35(2) with regard to not suporting such statement structural application he international application Date of com	velty, invent	tive step or industrial applicability;			
Date of	III CIIII CI	Basis of the report Priority Non-establishment of opin Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement und citations and explanations Certain documents cited Certain defects in the inte Certain observations on the	er Article 35(2) with regard to not suporting such statement emational application he international application Date of com 19.12.2000	veity, invent	tive step or industrial applicability;			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/02241

٠.	Basis of the tebort
	This report has been drawn on the basis of (substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office Ir response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).); Description, pages:

	-	esponse to an invita- he report since they Description, pages	ation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).);			
	1	-63	as originally filed			
	C	Claims, No.:				
	1	-48	as originally filed			
2	10.	ngoage in which th	nguage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the einternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	* 1	iese elements were	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
		the language of a	a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
		the language of p	publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).			
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3)	a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule			
3	. Wi	ith regard to any nu ernational prelimina	cleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the try examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing;			
		contained in the i	nternational application in written form.			
		the international application in computer readable form.				
			uently to this Authority in written form.			
		The statement the the international a	at the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in pplication as filed has been furnished.			
		The statement the listing has been fu	at the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence imished.			
4.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the cancellation of:			
		the description,	pages:			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			
5.		This report has be considered to go b	an established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/02241

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under Item 1 and annexed to this report.)

•	5. A	ditional observations, if necessary:							
	IV. Lack of unity of Invention								
1	. (1)	n response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:							
		restricted the claims.							
		paid additional fees.							
		paid additional fees under protest.							
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.							
.2.	M	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.							
3.	Thi	s Authority considers th	at the re	quiremer	nt of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is				
		complied with.							
	×	not complied with for the following reasons:							
4.	Con	Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:							
	X	all parts.							
		the parts relating to claims Nos							
V.	Rea: citat	asoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
		ment							
	Nove	eity (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2-4, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14 1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18-48				
I	nver	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-48				
1	ndus	atrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-48				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB99/02241

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB99/02241 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item IV Lack of unity of invention.

The present patent application refers to three members of the NAALADase group of peptidases. Specifically, full-length human NAALADase-L, and two previously unidentified members of the gene family, termed NAALADase-II and NAALADase IV, were isolated from human cDNA.

The common technical feature (Rule 13.2 PCT) to the genes and proteins subject of the current application, is that they belong to the family of NAALADases.

This feature, however, does not define a contribution over the prior art, since several members of NAALADases were already defined in the prior art (document D1, abstract; document D2, and references therein). Thus, since the common technical feature of the inventions claimed in the application is not inventive, unity of invention is compromized.

The claims of the current application are therefore regarded as referring to three different inventions:

- l) human NAALADase-L, Claims 1-4, 10-11, as well as (all partially) 9 and 18-48
- II) NAALADase-II, Claims 5-6, 12-14, as well as (all partially) 9 and 18-48
- III) NAALADase-IV, Claims 7-8, 15-17, as well as (all partially) 9 and 18-48

Since, however, the examination of these different inventions poses no excessive effort, no invitation to restrict or to pay additional fees is extended at the moment.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Art. 35(2) PCT with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability.

The application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT since claims 1, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12 and 15 are not novel, and claims 1-48 do not appear to contain an inventive step.

1) Reference is made to the following documents (the document numbering corresponds to their order of citation in the international search report):

D1: SHNEIDER, B.L., ET AL.: "Cloning and characterization of a novel peptidase from rat and human ileum." J.BIOL.CHEM., vol. 272, no. 49, 5 December 1997, pages 31006-31015, XP002129302

D2: LUTHI-CARTER R, ET AL.: "Isolation and characterization of a rat brain cDNA encoding glutamate carboxypeptidase II" PROC.NATL.ACAD.SCI. USA, vol. 95, March 1998, pages 3215-3220, XP002129303

Novelty.

2) The scope of claim 1 extends to a cDNA molecule encoding human NAALADase-L, or a functional equivalent thereof.

In lack of a precise definition of a function which distinguishes human NAALADase- L from the NAALADases already known in the prior art, a similar function is assumed on the basis of protein homology. Vice versa, the known forms of NAALADase-I (D2, entire document, and references therein), as well as rat NAALADase-L (D1, entire document), can be regarded as functional equivalents of human NAALADase-L.

Since this is comprised in the subject-matter of claim 1, this claim can not be regarded as being novel.

The same applies to the related claim 10, which refers to the human NAALADase-L protein itself, or a functional equivalent thereof.

- 3) For the same reasons, the NAALADases known in the prior art can be regarded as functional equivalents of NAALADase-II and NAALADase-IV. Therefore, claims 5 and 12, and claims 7 and 15, the scope of which extends to functional equivalents of NAALADase-II and NAALADase-IV, respectively, cannot be considered to be novel.
- 4) However, claims 2 4 and 11, which refer more specifically to a precise nucleotide or amino acid sequence of human NAALADase-L or splice variants thereof, neither of which have been disclosed entirely in the prior art, can be considered to be novel.

For similar reasoning, claims 6, 13 and 14, and claims 8, 16 and 17, which refer to specific nucleotide or amino acid sequences of human NAALADase-II and human NAALADase-IV, respectively, are regarded as being novel.

- Besides the fact that claim 9 also may depend on the claims 1, 5 and 7, all of which lack novelty, the scope of this claim also lacks a precise definition, since a minimal length of the nucleic acid molecule subject of the claim is not given. It may thus be understood as being limited to a sequence of one or few bases, which have doubtlessy been disclosed in the prior art.

 This claim therefore also lacks novelty.
- 6) Novelty of the claims 18 48 can only be examined if novelty of all claims they depend on has been restored.

Inventive Step.

7) The genes and proteins for human, rat and murine NAALADase-I, and for rat NAALADase-L, were known in the prior art. Also, a cDNA fragment encoding roughly half of human NAALADase-L was described.

The technical problem therefore was the identification of new genes and proteins with similar properties.

The obvious solution to the person skilled in the art would be the identification of genes related to the known NAALADases, by sequence comparison and standard cloning thechniques.

The solution of the present patent application is the provision of human NAALADase-I, human NAALADase-II and human NAALADase-IV.

The identification of the genes was performed by the inventors as follows:

human NAALADase-L:

- With the sequence information from the prior art, PCR primers for the 3' end of human NAALADase-L were designed.
- PCR was performed using commercially available cDNA as template.
- To obtain the 5' end of the gene, a RACE assay was performed using a standard kit.

human NAALADase-II;

- With all sequence informations on NAALADases from the prior art, BLAST searches on EST databases were performed.
- Positive clones were ordered and sequenced. One of them contained an entire reading frame coding for a protein, which was designated NAALADase-II.

human NAALADase-IV:

- Sequence information from another positive EST clone revealed a partial coding sequence of another NAALADase. This sequence was used in a second BLAST comparison to EST databases.
- The resulting sequence information yielded a contig encoding a protein, which was designated NAALADase-IV. Isolation of the entire gene was performed by PCR.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB99/02241 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The isolation of these genes has thus clearly been performed by standard methods used in the field, and was based on sequence information of the known NAALADases.

Since moreover the new NAALADases do not seem to show a surprising effect, the identification and isolation of the genes and proteins therefore lacks an inventive step.

Thus, claims 1-8 and 10-17, which refer to the NAALADases subject of the application, and to the nucleic acids encoding said NAALADases, are regarded as not complying with Art. 33(3) PCT.

8) Dependent claims 9 and 18-48 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step.